DSHS MENTAL HEALTH DIVISION REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS #0634-202 REGIONAL SUPPORT NETWORK PROCUREMENT ADDITIONAL BIDDERS QUESTIONS & RESPONSES

May 12, 2006

Procurement Coordinator
Andy Kramer
Department of Social and Health Services
Central Contracts Services
(360) 664-6073

Procurement Manager
Andy Toulon
Department of Social and Health Services
Mental Health Division
(360) 902-0818

	Question	Answer	RFQ Amendment	Posted
1.	One last attempt at clarification on attachments. We do want to get this right, and continue to struggle with the clarifications to date. Using Section 3.2.14 as an example, we would re-state the section requirements, then question 3.2.14.1, 3.2.14.2, 3.2.14.3, 3.2.14.3.1, 3.2.14.3.2, 3.2.14.3.3, 3.2.14.3.4, 3.2.14.3.5 (all with answers). Insert a page break, then insert attachments. But on page 18, 2.16.2 says that attachments must be included following each of the relevant QUESTIONS, not section or sub-section. So, do you want attachments after questions, or subsections?? i.e., one set of attachments for ALL of 3.2.14? which would be inserted after the answer to 3.2.12.3.5? OR does each QUESTION (3.2.14.1, 3.2.14.2, 3.2.14.3, 3.2.14.3.1, 3.2.14.3.2, 3.2.14.3.3, 3.2.14.3.4, 3.2.14.3.5) get an attachment tab? Your answer on page 18 at 2.16.2 is clear about tabbing questions within a subsection, and you do NOT want that. Do you want attachments tabbed by QUESTION, or by SubSection? In this section we refer to the same document for numbers 3.2.14.3.1, 3.2.14.3.2, 3.2.14.3.2, 3.2.14.3.3, 3.2.14.3.4, and 3.2.14.3.5. Do you really want us to copy the document five times and tab it five times??	Attachments should follow the relevant question. In the example provided, you would proceed as follows: • 3.2.13 requirements • 3.2.14.1 question & response • 3.2.14.1 labeled attachment/s • 3.2.14.2 question & response • 3.2.14.2 labeled attachment/s • 3.2.14.3 question and response • 3.2.14.3 labeled attachment/s We do not want attachments to follow subsections within a question. Therefore, all of the attachments for 3.2.14.3 will come after completing the entire question with all of its sub-parts.	Amenument	May 12

RFP- #0634-202 RSN Procurement

Additional Bidder's Questions and Responses

May 11, 2006 Page 3 of 6

2.	Is it acceptable to submit attachments at the end of each subsection, rather than the end of each question?	No	May 12
3.	Must each attachment be labeled and tabbed or can the body of attachments for a question be tabbed and labeled together?	Each attachment must be labeled and tabbed.	May 12
4.	If multiple attachments are needed for an individual question, is it sufficient to include a tab with the question number prior to these attachments (example – tab labeled 3.1.1 Attachments) and a table of contents showing each individual attachment following the tab, or is it required to tab each individual attachment document?	If the bidder chooses not to submit attachments with sequential numbering, then each attachment must be tabbed.	May 12
5.	Section 3.5.14 deletes the phrase "and a narrative description". Was this deletion made because it means the same as "written description" which is already included in the question?	Yes	May 12

RFP- #0634-202 RSN Procurement Additional Bidder's Questions and Responses

May 11, 2006 Page 4 of 6

6.	Section 3.4.6.1 asks the RSN to describe the process	MHCP is defined as the	May 12
	for termination of an MHCP. Do you define the	"individual with primary	
	MHCP as an agency or an individual?	responsibility for implementing an	
		individualized service plan for	
		Mental Health rehabilitation	
		services."	
		The draft contract requires	
		notification for both CMHA and	
		MHCP termination. However, the	
		RFP requirement and related	
		question only call out the	
		requirement pertaining to MHCP	
		termination. In responding to the	
		RFP, bidders may limit their	
		response to MHCP termination but	
		should be aware that if successful,	
		the contract requirement applies to	
		both, CMHA and MHCP	
		termination.	
7.	Andy - we really need a WORD copy of the final (or at	This was sent on May 3rd	May 12
	least the amended) RFP. It is only available as a PDF		
	file on the web site, requiring us to re-type everything.		
	Is it possible to get the RFP amendment as a Word doc,		
	rather than a PDF?		

	Cood Marrings	The autorior of a transition of a	Mar. 12
8.	Good Morning:	The submission of a transition plan	May 12
		is not adequate for DSHS to	
	When the North East Washington RSN Governing	accept NCWRSN as a successful	
	Board approached the North Central Washington RSN	bidder under the RFP. This was	
	Governing Board prior to the issuing of the RFQ they	considered in some versions of	
	stated that they wanted to dissolve their RSN and	2SSB 6793 but not included in the	
	become part of the North Central RSN. They agreed	final version of the bill.	
	that they would fall under North Centrals		
	administration, policies and procedures and that the	In accordance with statute, a	
	current North Central RSN staff would continue to be	complete response to the RFP	
	the North Central RSN staff. At that time it was	demonstrating that it meets	
	decided that both RSNs, separately, should respond to	requirements must be submitted in	
	the RFQ in hopes that at least one would succeed.	order for any entity to be	
		considered a successful bidder	
	There is no other bidder for the geographic area making	under the RFP.	
	up the North East Washington RSN. Since North		
	Central was an apparently successful bidder in the RFQ		
	process our responses to the RFP will essentially be		
	identical to our RFQ responses, indicating that our		
	policies and practices will be expanded to include the		
	new geographic area.		
	new geograpine area.		
	Since we are the only bidder, and were successful in		
	the RFQ process, would it be possible to accept us as a		
	successful bidder under the RFP if we were to submit a		
	transition plan for bringing those additional counties		
	into the North Central RSN. It seems that it would		
	make more sense for us to be expending our resources		
	1 0		
	on transitional planning now, than re-writing what we		
	have already written.		
	Thoules for considering this are and		
	Thanks for considering this proposal -		

RFP- #0634-202 RSN Procurement Additional Bidder's Questions and Responses

May 11, 2006 Page 6 of 6

9.	The state has set a deadline of May 17 for answering	Yes.	May 12
	final RFP related questions. Most of the RSNs will be		
	starting their production of RFP responses before this		
	date. Could DSHS please respond to the questions as		
	soon as possible, particularly the questions about		
	organization of the RFP response such as the tabbing of		
	the attachments?		